1 (Case called)

2.3

THE COURT: As a result of the filing of the superseding indictment last week I scheduled this appearance for an arraignment.

You should know that at last week's otherwise scheduled intended to be ruling by the Court on the motion to dismiss regarding Mr. Atilla, we held his arraignment at that time instead, and I mentioned to them that counsel in this case had asked for Mr. Zarrab to be excluded from last week's appearance, thinking it was a motion to dismiss in which he was not involved.

Anyway, that's the history. We are here and I would ask, Mr. Brafman, if you and Mr. Zarrab have in fact received the superseding indictment in this matter.

MR. BRAFMAN: Yes, your Honor. We received the indictment, it's been reviewed with Mr. Zarrab, and we waive a formal reading, and he is prepared to enter a plea of not guilty.

THE COURT: As for the next appearance -- you probably know about this already, Mr. Brafman -- I had asked Mr. Atilla's counsel to meet with you and the government to discuss what impact, if any, his superseding indictment will have on the case from your perspective, theirs as well. I don't know if that's taken place yet. Probably too early.

In any event, we put the matter over for September 25

at noon. Is that a date that works for you?

MR. BRAFMAN: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: That's a Monday.

MR. BRAFMAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I also asked if there was some consensus, if someone could write me a letter and let me know what that consensus is.

MR. BRAFMAN: Your Honor, I briefly spoke with Mr. Rocco, who is counsel for Mr. Atilla, and as, I think the Court may be aware, he suffered a very serious physical setback, had serious heart surgery, as I understand it, and indicated to me that he would not be ready to proceed, in his judgment, with trial on the previously scheduled trial date of October 30.

Your Honor, the new indictment poses challenges to us as well because in the new indictment, contrary to the indictment previously filed, the Halk Bank seems to be almost the heart of the indictment. And when we reviewed literally hundreds of thousands of documents, if not more, a number of the documents were not reviewed for the purposes of determining either the deep relationship of Halk Bank to the charges as well as the other names that have been added to the indictment were not included in the search terms that we devised for reviewing those documents.

To the extent that we intend to proceed to trial, as

is Mr. Zarrab's position at this time, we have a lot of additional work to do.

2.3

So what I will do is, I will try and reach Mr. Rocco prior to the 25th. We will confer either together or separately with the government and see if we can come up by the 25th with a schedule to present to the Court. I just wanted to respectfully alert your Honor to the fact that based on my conversation with Mr. Rocco, he doesn't think he will be physically up to the demands of a trial by October 30. And given the new indictment, I'm not certain that our office could be ready to proceed on October 30 either.

THE COURT: The point behind my suggested meet and confer is you get the input from everybody and we can talk about it on the 25th of September. But if you reach some consensus or proposal before that time, if somebody would write me a letter and let me know.

MR. BRAFMAN: We will try and do that. I think the meeting with Mr. Rocco may have to be telephonically because I'm not certain he's back to his office yet. As of Friday he wasn't.

THE COURT: Ms. Fleming, probably, is she cocounsel?

MR. BRAFMAN: I am going to determine who will be lead counsel for Mr. Atilla when we speak to with Mr. Rocco later today. I have never met her, to my knowledge, but I understand that she handled the arraignment and will be at least

1 | cocounsel.

2.3

THE COURT: She handled I think at least one appearance before last Thursday.

MR. BRAFMAN: I'm not certain we were at that appearance.

THE COURT: Good to see you as usual. I'll see you on the 25th at noon.

MR. LOCKARD: Your Honor, if I may just note for the record, at the arraignment of Mr. Atilla the Court did exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act until September 25, which would apply to Mr. Zarrab's case as well.

THE COURT: We should do it separately. I am going to find, under 18, United States Code, Section 3161, that the adjournment to and including September 25 -- you remember at Mr. Atilla's arraignment we noted that there was an exclusion under the prior indictment to the trial date. But in the exercise of caution we excluded to September 25, 2017. And I think that is appropriate and the new indictment warrants exclusion of the adjourned time from speedy trial calculations.

I further find that the exclusion is designed to prevent any possible miscarriage of justice, to facilitate these proceedings, to guarantee effective representation of and preparation by counsel for all parties, and the need for exclusion and the ends of justice outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, pursuant to 18

H9BMZARC U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(7)(a) and (b). With that, I'll see you on the 25th. MR. BRAFMAN: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, one question, sir. For purposes of other scheduling matters in other cases, should I assume as of today that the October 30 trial date has been adjourned or should I wait until the 25th? THE COURT: I would wait. MR. BRAFMAN: Thank you, your Honor.